



AQUIND Limited

AQUIND INTERCONNECTOR

Statement of Common Ground Between
AQUIND Limited and Portsmouth City Council

The Planning Act (2008)

Document Ref: 7.5.3
PINS Ref.: EN020022

AQUIND Limited

AQUIND INTERCONNECTOR

Statement of Common Ground Between
AQUIND Limited and Portsmouth City Council

PINS REF.: EN020022

DOCUMENT: 7.5.3

DATE: 23 DECEMBER 2020

WSP

WSP House

70 Chancery Lane

London

WC2A 1AF

+44 20 7314 5000

www.wsp.com

DOCUMENT

Document	Statement of Common Ground with Portsmouth City Council
Revision	002
Document Owner	WSP UK Limited
Prepared By	Cassie Fountain
Date	23 December 2020
Approved By	Marcus Wood / Martyn Jarvis
Date	

CONTENTS

1.	INTRODUCTION AND PURPOSE	1-6
<hr/>		
1.1.	PURPOSE OF THE STATEMENT OF COMMON GROUND	1-6
1.2.	DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT	1-6
1.3.	THIS STATEMENT OF COMMON GROUND AND THE ROLE OF PCC	1-7
2.	RECORD OF ENGAGEMENT UNDERTAKEN TO DATE	2-9
3.	SUMMARY OF TOPICS COVERED BY THE STATEMENT OF COMMON GROUND	3-15
<hr/>		
3.1.	COVERED IN THE STATEMENT OF COMMON GROUND	3-15
4.	CURRENT POSITION	4-17
<hr/>		
4.1.	PLANNING POLICY	4-17
4.2.	NEED FOR THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT	4-17
4.3.	LANDSCAPE AND VISUAL AMENITY	4-18
4.4.	ECOLOGY (INCLUDING ARBORICULTURE)	4-19
4.5.	GROUND CONDITIONS	4-20
4.6.	GROUNDWATER	4-22
4.7.	SURFACE WATER RESOURCES AND FLOOD RISK	4-22
4.8.	HERITAGE AND ARCHAEOLOGY	4-26
4.9.	TRAFFIC AND TRANSPORT	4-27
4.10.	AIR QUALITY	4-29
4.11.	NOISE AND VIBRATION	4-29
4.12.	SOCIO-ECONOMICS	4-31
4.13.	HUMAN HEALTH	4-33
4.14.	WASTE AND MATERIAL RESOURCES	4-33
4.15.	CUMULATIVE EFFECTS	4-34
4.16.	ONSHORE OUTLINE CONSTRUCTION ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PLAN	4-35

4.17.	DRAFT DEVELOPMENT CONSENT ORDER (INCLUDING REQUIREMENTS TO THE DRAFT DCO)	4-36
4.18.	OPTICAL REGENERATION STATIONS	4-37
4.19.	COMMUNITY FUND	4-38
5.	SIGNATURES	5-39

TABLES

Table 2.1 – Schedule of Pre-Application Meetings and Correspondence	2-9
Table 4.1 – Planning Policy	4-17
Table 4.2 – Need for the Proposed Development	4-17
Table 4.3 – Landscape and Visual Amenity	4-18
Table 4.4 – Ecology (including Arboriculture)	4-19
Table 4.5 – Ground Conditions	4-20
Table 4.6 – Groundwater	4-22
Table 4.7 – Surface Water Resources and Flood Risk	4-22
Table 4.8 – Heritage and Archaeology	4-26
Table 4.9 – Traffic and Transport	4-27
Table 4.10 – Air Quality	4-29
Table 4.11 – Noise and Vibration	4-30
Table 4.12 – Socio-economics	4-31
Table 4.13 – Human Health	4-33
Table 4.14 - Waste and Material Resources	4-33
Table 4.15 – Cumulative Effects	4-34
Table 4.16 – Onshore Outline Construction Environmental Management Plan	4-35
Table 4.17 – Draft Development Consent Order	4-36
Table 4.18 – Optical Regeneration Stations	4-37
Table 4.17 – Community Fund	4-38

1. INTRODUCTION AND PURPOSE

1.1. PURPOSE OF THE STATEMENT OF COMMON GROUND

1.1.1.1. A Statement of Common Ground (SoCG) is a written statement produced as part of the application process for an application for a Development Consent Order (DCO) and is prepared jointly by the applicant and another party. A SoCG sets out the matters of agreement between both parties, matters where there is not agreement and matters which are under discussion.

1.1.1.2. In this regard paragraph 58 of the Department for Communities and Local Government's guidance entitled "Planning Act 2008: examination of applications for development consent" (26 March 2015) hereafter referred to as DCLG Guidance) describes a SoCG as follows:

"A statement of common ground is a written statement prepared jointly by the applicant and another party or parties, setting out any matters on which they agree. As well as identifying matters which are not in real dispute, it is also useful if a statement identifies those areas where agreement has not been reached. The statement should include references to show where those matters are dealt with in the written representations or other documentary evidence."

1.1.1.1. The aim of a SoCG is to assist the Examining Authority to manage the examination of an application for a DCO by providing an understanding of the status of matters at hand and allowing the Examining Authority to focus their questioning. The effective use of SoCG is expected to lead to a more efficient examination process.

1.1.1.2. A SoCG may be submitted prior to the start or during an Examination and updated as necessary or as requested during an Examination.

1.2. DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

1.2.1.1. AQUIND Limited ("the Applicant") submitted an application for the AQUIND Interconnector Order (the 'Order') pursuant to Section 37 of the Planning Act 2008 (as amended) (the "PA2008") to the Secretary of State on 14 November 2019 (the 'Application').

1.2.1.2. The Application seeks development consent for those elements of the AQUIND Interconnector (the 'Project') located in the UK and the UK Marine Area (the 'Proposed Development').

1.2.1.3. The Project is a new 2,000 MW subsea and underground High Voltage Direct Current ('HVDC') bi-directional electric power transmission link between the South Coast of England and Normandy in France. By linking the British and French electric power grids it will make energy markets more efficient, improve

security of supply and enable greater flexibility as power grids evolve to adapt to different sources of renewable energy and changes in demand trends such as the development of electric vehicles. The Project will have the capacity to transmit up to 16,000,000 MWh of electricity per annum, which equates to approximately 5% and 3% of the total consumption of the UK and France respectively.

1.2.1.4. The Proposed Development includes:

- HVDC marine cables from the boundary of the UK exclusive economic zone to the UK at Eastney in Portsmouth;
- Jointing of the HVDC marine cables and HVDC onshore cables;
- HVDC onshore cables;
- A Converter Station and associated electrical and telecommunications infrastructure;
- High Voltage Alternating Current ('HVAC') onshore cables and associated infrastructure connecting the Converter Station to the Great Britain electrical transmission network, the National Grid, at Lovedean Substation; and
- Smaller diameter fibre optic cables to be installed together with the HVDC and HVAC cables and associated infrastructure.

1.3. THIS STATEMENT OF COMMON GROUND AND THE ROLE OF PCC

1.3.1.1. This SoCG has been prepared jointly by the Applicant and Portsmouth City Council ("PCC") in accordance with the DCLG Guidance and precedent examples of SoCG available on the Planning Inspectorate's website.

1.3.1.2. PCC is interested in the Proposed Development as a Local Planning Authority, Highway Authority and Street Authority in respect of the parts of the Proposed Development located within their administrative boundary. In addition, PCC is an owner of land affected by the Proposed Development.

1.3.1.3. PCC would be responsible for discharging many of the requirements of the Order associated with development in their administrative area should development consent be granted for the Proposed Development. PCC would also be responsible for monitoring and enforcing many of the DCO provisions and requirements.

1.3.1.4. For the purpose of this SoCG the Applicant and PCC will be jointly referred to as the "Parties".

1.3.1.5. This Statement of Common Ground has been prepared by the Applicant and is considered to represent an accurate reflection of discussions with PCC to date up to Deadline 6. This represents an update to the draft submitted by the Applicant at Deadline 4 which represented the fourth draft issued by AQUIND to

PCC (following earlier drafts issued on 18 March 2020 and 15 July 2020, and at Deadline 1 and Deadline 4). This draft provides updated references to new or updated material submitted since Deadline 4 and also reflects further discussions between the Applicant and PCC since Deadline 4 as set out at Table 2.1 (most recently on 08 December 2020). Like the draft issued at Deadline 4 this updated draft does not however include any formal comment by PCC but relevant details have been added by the Applicant to update the SoCG and show the status of each issue where this has changed from the Deadline 1 draft. As well as submission of this draft into the examination at Deadline 6 it has also been issued to PCC for comment on 22 December 2020 (following the most recent discussions) in order to help progress the outstanding matters by Deadline 7.

1.3.1.6.

At the meeting on 17th December 2020 PCC advised the Applicant that it would not respond to a further draft SoCG in advance of Deadline 6 but did plan to submit a unilateral draft. The Applicant confirmed it would do the same and it was agreed that the parties would use these to prepare an updated joint draft at Deadline 7.

2. RECORD OF ENGAGEMENT UNDERTAKEN TO DATE

2.1.1.1. The tables below set out a summary of the key meetings and correspondence between the parties in relation to the Proposed Development.

Table 2.1 – Schedule of Pre-Application Meetings and Correspondence

Date	Form of Contact	Summary
10/01/19	Meeting (Planning and Highways, including WCC, EHC, HBC, HCC, SDNP)	Preferred Converter Station location; Preliminary Environmental Information Report (“PEIR”) for forthcoming statutory consultation; Update on cable route options; Land referencing (including Land Interest Questionnaires (“LIQ”)); Future engagement; Statement of Community Consultation (“SoCC”).
22/01/19	Meeting (Planning and Highways, including WCC, EHC, HBC, HCC, SDNP)	PEIR and forthcoming statutory consultation / process; Cable route options and rationale; Alternatives to limit impact of cable route on highway.
05/02/19	Telecon (Planning and Highways, including WCC, EHC, HBC, HCC, SDNP)	Deposit locations for Consultation Documents; Converter Station design and level of information in PEIR.
07/02/19	Meeting (Planning, Estates, Highways)	Cable route options; Construction methodology for cable installation; Consultation methodology agreed as per SoCC; Awareness to recreational space users who may not live in the area; Proposed Development and forthcoming consultation; Site notices, and appropriate locations along the cable corridor and in car parks of recreational areas.

Date	Form of Contact	Summary
15/05/19	Meeting ESCP	Update on progress and consultation responses; Milton Common proposals and design of sea defences.
12/06/19	Meeting (Contaminated Land Officer)	Review of historical records of contaminated land.
03/07/19	Meeting (Planning and Highways)	Onshore Cable Corridor update on technical work; Transport Assessment scope; Transport SRTM scoping note (methodology and assumptions).
06/07/19	Meeting (Landscape)	Viewpoints, visualisations and mitigation requirements for ORS buildings at landfall.
15/07/19	Meeting (Elected Members and senior officers)	February – April 2019 consultation; Onshore underground cable route; Construction impacts.
23/07/19	Workshop (LLFA/Drainage, including EA, Portsmouth Water and HCC LLFA/Drainage)	Update on Proposed Development and flood risk profile within the Order Limits; Surface water resources and flood risk assessment; Permitting requirements; Potential constraints at Converter Station; Crossing of the ESCP flood defences.
06/08/19	Meeting (Environmental Health, including EHDC and HBC)	Construction noise and vibration along Onshore Cable Corridor.
09/08/19	Telecon (Planning, Estates, Transport)	Update on Stakeholder meetings; Timescales and DCO Process; Optioneering Update, specifically, Landfall, Open Space including Bransbury Park and Farlington Playing Fields, and Farlington Avenue; Targeted Consultation; Land Referencing Update.
16/08/19	Telecon	Route Option update; Targeted Consultation; Land Referencing Update.
22/08/19	Meeting (Planning, Estates, Transport)	Onshore Cable Corridor – optioneering update; ORS buildings at landfall; DCO process.

Date	Form of Contact	Summary
30/08/19	Meeting (Planning, ESCP)	Coastal defences at Milton Common; Phase 4 ESCP works.
04/09/19	Briefing (Elected Members, Planning)	Councillor Briefing on Proposed Development, Onshore Cable Corridor and DCO Process.
10/09/19	Meeting (Planning, Estates, Transport)	Update on planning and transport; Elected member concerns on air quality; Land interest questionnaire refresh; Update on ESCP meeting (30/08/19); Works at landfall including the ORS; Outputs of traffic (STRM) modelling, focussing on individual junctions; AIL routes; Utilities; Groundwater.
25/09/2019	Telecon (Planning, Estates, Transport)	Project update; Progress on Order Limits/refinement; ORS at Landfall; DCO process.
08/10/19	Meeting (Planning, Estates, Transport)	Order Limits and key changes post consultation, including retained flexibility; Landfall, buildings and construction timescale; HDD/trenchless crossings; Impact on open land; Transport update; DCO process update.
29/10/2019	Meeting (Arboriculture, Planning)	Review of approach and likely impacts of TPO features; Mitigation on worst-case scenario.
04/11/19	Telecon (Planning, Transport, Estates)	Submission update; Traffic; Air Quality; Contaminated Land; Arboriculture; ORS; Ecology; Open Space; Post Submission and Comms.

Date	Form of Contact	Summary
18/12/2019		Updated Order Limits Project Description Landfall, construction and ORS (and permanent land acquisition) Milton Common Baffins Milton Rovers and Langstone Playing Fields Farlington Playing Fields Farlington Avenue Portsdown Hill Road SoCG Section 56 Notices, site notice (additional locations) Communications Strategy
07/01/2020	Meeting	Project Update SoCG and Future Meetings Open Space Estates/Property and DCO (PCC Land Ownership) Communications
12/03/2020	Telecon	Project and Examination Update Highways, focus on Relevant Representation SoCG
04/08/2020	Telecon	Examination Update SoCG Draft
11/08/2020	Telecon (Transport)	Transport update covering Eastern Road Technical Note, traffic management, modelling, survey data and abnormal loads
12/08/2020	Telecon (East Solent Coastal Partnership)	Examination Update, potential for aligning programme, compound locations and access between the Applicant and ESCP coastal defence works. Flood defence crossing and working principles.
28/09/2020	Telecon	Run through of route through Portsmouth City Council's area of jurisdiction (including changes to the Order limits post submission, to be submitted at Deadline 1).

Date	Form of Contact	Summary
08/10/2020	Telecon (Recreation, Planning, Estates)	Project Update in respect of socio economics with particular reference to the Applicant's Framework Management Plan for Recreational Impacts (FMP) to be submitted at Deadline 1. Specific discussion around Order Limits and issues at Farlington Playing Fields, Baffins Milton Rovers/Langstone Harbour Sports Ground and Bransbury Park.
27/10/2020	Telecon (Coastal Partners)	Discussion between the Applicant and Coastal Partners (formerly East Solent Coastal Partnership) on potential collaborative working and coordination in respect of onshore cable route duct installation in the area of flood defences on Portsea Island and timing of use of construction compounds review of draft landscape scheme prepared by CP.
29/10/2020	Telecon (Planning, Highways, Estates, Recreation, Legal, Corporate)	Keeping in Touch meeting covering examination update, traffic/transport, Eastney and Milton Allotments, SoCG, FMP, ORS, land matters.
11/11/2020	Email (EHO)	Response by Applicant on range of queries including night time working equipment, construction working hours, Harbourside Caravan Park. Response by reference to information submitted at deadlines 1 and 2.
12/11/2020	Telecon (Planning, Highways, Estates, Recreation, Legal, Corporate)	Keeping in Touch meeting covering examination update, traffic/transport, Eastney and Milton Allotments, SoCG, FMP, ORS, land matters. The Applicant agreed to provide updated SoCG to PCC reflecting changes since Deadline 1 and that a bigger update and discussions on outstanding matters would take place following receipt of PCC comments on Deadline 1 SoCG and its update, with view to significant update before Deadline 5.
25/11/2020	Telecon (Planning, Highways, Estates, Recreation, Legal, Corporate)	Keeping in Touch meeting covering examination update, traffic/transport, Eastney and Milton Allotments, SoCG, FMP, ORS, land matters, Coastal Partners ongoing discussions.

Date	Form of Contact	Summary
26/11/2020	Telecon (Planning, LLFA)	Topic Meeting on Flood Risk matters covering: addendum to FRA, and addendum to Sequential Test document since original DCO submission.
01/12/2020	Telecon (Planning, Ecology and Arboriculture)	Topic Meeting on Ecology and Arboriculture matters in draft SoCG.
04/12/2020	Telecon (Planning, Highways, Estates, Recreation, Legal, Corporate)	Keeping in Touch meeting covering examination update, traffic/transport, Eastney and Milton Allotments, SoCG, FMP, ORS, land matters.
08/12/2020	Telecon (Planning, Highways, HE and HCC Highways, and Air Quality)	Topic Meeting on Traffic and Transport matters in draft SoCG. (Note Air Quality Matters discussed on 17/12/202).
16/12/2020	Telecon (Planning, Socio-Economics, Recreation)	Topic Meeting on Socio Economic matters in draft SoCG.
17/12/2020	Telecon (Planning, Air Quality)	Topic Meeting on Air Quality matters in draft SoCG.

3. SUMMARY OF TOPICS COVERED BY THE STATEMENT OF COMMON GROUND

3.1. COVERED IN THE STATEMENT OF COMMON GROUND

3.1.1.1. The following topics discussed between the Applicant and PCC are discussed within this SoCG:

- Planning policy
- Needs for the Proposed Development
- Landscape and visual amenity
- Ecology (including arboriculture)
- Soils and agricultural land use
- Ground conditions
- Groundwater
- Surface water and flood risk
- Heritage and archaeology
- Traffic and transport
- Air quality
- Noise and vibration
- Socio-economics
- Human health
- Waste and material resources
- Cumulative effects
- Onshore Outline Construction Environmental Management Plan (“Onshore CEMP”)
- Draft DCO (including requirements to the draft DCO)
- Optical Regeneration Stations
- Community Fund

3.1.1.2.

Where helpful to assist with an explanation of the position of the Parties these topics have been split into sections to align with the Onshore Cable Corridor within the administrative boundary of PCC:

- Section 4 (south) – London Road/Portsdown Hill Road to Burnham Road
- Section 5 – Farlington
- Section 6 – Zetland Fields and Sainsbury's Car Park
- Section 7 – Farlington junction to Airport Service Road
- Section 8 – Great Salterns Golf Course to Velder Avenue/Moorings Way
- Section 9 – Velder Avenue/Moorings Way to Bransbury Road
- Section 10 – Eastney (Landfall)

4. CURRENT POSITION

4.1. PLANNING POLICY

Table 4.1 – Planning Policy

Ref.	Description of matter	Current Position	RAG
Planning Policy			
PCC 4.1.1	Role of NPS EN-1	It is agreed that the relevant National Policy Statement for the Proposed Development is the Overarching National Policy Statement for Energy (EN-1) (2011) and represents the primary policy basis for the determination of the application as set out in the Planning Statement (APP-108).	Agreed
PCC 4.1.2	PCC Development Plan	Local planning policies from the relevant authorities can be ‘important and relevant’ considerations for the Secretary of State (‘SoS’) in determining the Application. The Development Plan for PCC comprises (as set out at Appendix 4 of the Planning Statement (APP-108)): <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Portsmouth Plan (2012); • Portsmouth City Local Plan saved policies (2006); and the • Seafront Masterplan SPD (2013). • Eastney Beach Habitat Restoration and Management Plan SPD (2014). • Parking Standards and Transport Assessments SPD adopted (2014). • Air Quality and Pollution SPD (2006). • Developing Contaminated Land SPG (2004). 	Agreed

4.2. NEED FOR THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

Table 4.2 – Need for the Proposed Development

Ref.	Description of matter	Current Position	RAG
PCC 4.2.1	Need for the development	The overarching need for the Proposed Development as set out in the Needs and Benefits Report (APP-115) and Needs and Benefits Addendum Rev 002 (REP1-136) is a matter for consideration by the SoS as decision maker in considering applications for development consent under the Planning Act 2008.	Ongoing
PCC 4.2.2	Wider benefits	The Needs and Benefits Report (APP-115) and Addendum (REP1-136) also sets out the wider benefits of the Proposed Development in terms of job creation and economic activity. The Applicant is developing an Employment and Skills Plan which will be circulated with PCC in January 2021. In the meantime PCC views are sought on these benefits outlined at Section 2.4 of the Needs and Benefits Report where they relate to the local area, specifically: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • New employment opportunities (section 2.4.4.); and • Wider economic activity (section 2.4.5) 	Ongoing

4.3. LANDSCAPE AND VISUAL AMENITY

Table 4.3 – Landscape and Visual Amenity

Ref.	Description of matter	Current Position	RAG
Landscape and Visual Amenity			
PCC 4.3.1	Area of study relevant to PCC	It is agreed that the parts of the Landscape and Visual Amenity assessment set out in Chapter 15 of the ES (APP-130) relevant to PCC are Sections 4 (London Road/Portsdown Hill Road to Burnham Road (south)) to Section 10 (Eastney - Landfall) which fall within PCC's administrative boundary.	Agreed
PCC 4.3.2	ES Methodology - Study Area	The 120 m study area on either side of the cable route is agreed (as noted at paragraph 15.1.2.6 of Chapter 15 of the ES APP-130) The scoping out of permanent significant operational effects on landscape and visual receptors within and beyond the 120 m buffer on either side of the Onshore Cable Corridor is also agreed (as per paragraph 15.3.5.1).	Agreed
PCC 4.3.3	ES Methodology - Study Area	It is agreed (as noted at paragraph 15.1.2.7 of Chapter 15 of the ES APP-130) that a 300 m study area around the Landfall is appropriate, with no requirement for a Zone of Theoretical Visibility (ZTV) for the Optical Regeneration Station(s) (ORS).	Agreed
PCC 4.3.4	ES Methodology - Study Area	The locations of the verified views and wirelines for the ORS at Landfall have been agreed (as noted at paragraph 15.4.4.24 of Chapter 15 of the ES APP-130).	Agreed
PCC 4.3.5	ES Baseline	The landscape and visual baseline environment is set out at section 15.5.3 of Chapter 15 of the ES APP-130). The Applicant welcomes PCC's review and agreement of this baseline for the relevant sections.	Ongoing
PCC 4.3.6	Predicted impacts	The impacts considered to have the potential to give rise to temporary significant effects during construction of the Proposed Development in relation to the Onshore Cable Corridor and Landfall are identified at section 15.3.6 of Chapter 15 of the ES (APP-130). The Applicant welcomes PCC's review and agreement that these represent an accurate reflection of the predicted impacts.	Ongoing
PCC 4.3.7	Mitigation - Outline Landscape and Biodiversity Strategy	The Outline Landscape and Biodiversity Strategy (REP1-034) submitted with the Application and the extent of the mitigation in the Strategy relating to the ORS at the Landfall are matters yet to be agreed.	Ongoing
PCC 4.3.8	Mitigation - Onshore Outline CEMP – General Environmental Control Measures	The measures set out in the Onshore Outline CEMP (REP5-019) section 5.2 (Landscape and Visual Amenity) are yet to be agreed.	Ongoing
PCC 4.3.9	Mitigation - Onshore Outline CEMP – Location Specific Construction Environmental Control Measures	The measures set out in the Onshore Outline CEMP (REP5-019): <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Section 6.2.3 (Landscape and Visual Amenity); • Section 6.5.1 (Section 4 - Hambledon Road to Farlington Avenue - Arboriculture and Landscape); • Section 6.6.1 (Section 5 - Farlington - Arboriculture and Landscape); • Section 6.7.1 (Section 6 - Zetland Field and Sainsbury's Car Park - Arboriculture and Landscape); • Section 6.8.1 (Section 7 - Farlington Junction to Airport Service Road - Arboriculture and Landscape); • Section 6.9.1 (Section 8 - Eastern Road (adjacent to Great Salterns Golf Course) To Moorings Way - Arboriculture and Landscape); • Section 6.10.1 (Section 9 - Mooring Way to Bransbury Road - Arboriculture and Landscape); and • Section 6.11.1 (Section 10 - Eastney (Landfall) - Arboriculture and Landscape); Are yet to be agreed.	Ongoing
PCC 4.3.10	Mitigation - Onshore Outline CEMP – Onshore Monitoring Plan	The measures set out in the Onshore Outline CEMP (REP5-019) section 7.1 (Onshore Monitoring Plan - Landscape and Visual Amenity - Management of Vegetation) are yet to be agreed.	Ongoing
PCC 4.3.11	Residual effects	Subject to further discussion in relation to predicted impacts and mitigation measures, The Applicant seeks PCC's agreement of the assessment of residual effects set out at Tables 15.10 and 15.11 of Chapter 15 of the ES (APP-130).	Ongoing
PCC 4.3.12	Requirement – detailed landscaping scheme	The draft DCO (REP1-021) requirements (7 and 8) relating to the need for a detailed landscaping scheme, comprising hard and soft landscaping, and a scheme of implementation and maintenance (LPA approval) are yet to be agreed.	Ongoing

4.4. ECOLOGY (INCLUDING ARBORICULTURE)

Table 4.4 – Ecology (including Arboriculture)

Ref.	Description of matter	Current Position	RAG
Ecology (including Arboriculture)			
PCC 4.4.1	Area of study relevant to PCC	It is agreed that the parts of the Onshore Ecology assessment set out in Chapter 16 of the ES (APP-131) relevant to PCC are Sections 4 (London Road/Portsdown Hill Road to Burnham Road (south)) to Section 10 (Eastney - Landfall) which fall within PCC's administrative boundary.	Agreed
PCC 4.4.2	ES Methodology – Study Area	The study areas for the Preliminary Ecological Appraisal (“PEA”) for ecological features (as noted in section 16.1.2 of Chapter 16 of the ES APP-131) are agreed as appropriate (email from PCC dated 10/12/2020).	Agreed
PCC 4.4.3	ES Baseline	The ecological baseline as set out at section 16.5 of Chapter 16 of the ES APP-131. The Applicant welcomes PCC's review and agreement of this baseline for the relevant sections.	Ongoing
PCC 4.4.4	Predicted impacts	<p>The impacts are identified with regards to ecological/environmental designations and species in relation to the Onshore Cable Corridor and Landfall are identified (including mitigation) at sections 16.5.1.47 to 60, 16.6.2 and 16.6.3 of Chapter 16 of the ES (APP-131, and associated Appendix 16.3 (APP-411)). The Applicant welcomes PCC's review and agreement that these represent an accurate reflection of the predicted impacts.</p> <p>PCC has raised concerns on the lack of clarity on the final cable route, and a resultant potential for significant effects on bird disturbance to the Solent SPAs (notably Langstone and Chichester Harbour SPA) and the functionally linked land. As such the predicted impacts on Chichester and Langstone Harbour are identified in paragraph 16.6.2.3 to 16.6.2.19 of Chapter 16 of the ES and are yet to be agreed.</p>	Ongoing
PCC 4.4.5	Mitigation - embedded	The embedded mitigation measures are set out in paragraphs 16.6.2.1 of Chapter 16 of the ES (APP-131) and are yet to be agreed with PCC.	Ongoing
PCC 4.4.6	Mitigation - Onshore Outline CEMP – General Environmental Control Measures	The measures set out in section 6.2.1 (Onshore Ecology) of the Onshore Outline CEMP (REP5-019), referring to construction noise effects on Wintering Birds, and section 5.3 (Onshore Ecology), including precautionary methods of works and arboriculture, are yet to be agreed.	Ongoing
PCC 4.4.7	Mitigation - Onshore Outline CEMP – Location Specific Construction Environmental Control Measures	<p>The agreement of the Onshore Outline CEMP (REP5-019):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Section 6.2.1 (Onshore Ecology); <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◦ Winter Restriction of Works Adjacent to Chichester and Langstone Harbour SPA; ◦ Bats and Lighting (Farlington Playing Fields); ◦ Soil Horizon Preservation (Milton Common SINC, unimproved and semi-improved grassland); and ◦ Ground Protection (Milton Common SINC, unimproved and semi-improved grassland); • Section 6.2.2 (Arboriculture) section 6.5.1 (Section 4 Hambledon Road to Farlington Avenue - Arboriculture and Landscape); • Section 6.6.1 (Section 5 Farlington - Arboriculture and Landscape); • Section 6.7.1 (Section 6 Zetland Field and Sainsbury's Car Park - Arboriculture and Landscape); • Section 6.8.1 (Section 7 Farlington Junction to Airport Service Road - Arboriculture and Landscape); • Section 6.9.1 (Section 8 Eastern Road (adjacent to Great Salterns Golf Course) To Moorings Way - Arboriculture and Landscape); • Section 6.10.1 (Section 9 Moorings Way to Bransbury Road - Arboriculture and Landscape); • Section 6.11.1 (Section 10 Eastney (Landfall) - Arboriculture and Landscape) <p>Are yet to be agreed and the Onshore Outline CEMP (REP5-019) and Outline Landscape and Biodiversity Strategy (REP1-034) have been updated to provide further detail.</p>	Ongoing

Ref.	Description of matter	Current Position	RAG
PCC 4.4.8	Mitigation - Onshore Monitoring Plan	The agreement of the Onshore Outline CEMP (REP5-019): <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Section 7.1 (Onshore Monitoring Plan - Arboriculture - Protection of trees); Section 7.1 (Onshore Monitoring Plan - Onshore Ecology - Seed harvesting and reseeded at Denmead Meadows, Kings Pond Meadow SINC and Unimproved Neutral Grassland); and Section 7.1 (Onshore Monitoring Plan - Onshore Ecology - Construction impacts to the environment) Are yet to be agreed.	Ongoing
PCC 4.4.9	Residual effects	Subject to further discussion in relation to predicted impacts and mitigation measures, The Applicant seeks PCC's agreement of the assessment of residual effects set out in section 16.9 and table 16.9 of Chapter 16 of the ES (APP-131).	Ongoing
PCC 4.4.10	Requirement - Biodiversity Management Strategy/Plan	Draft DCO (REP1-021) Requirement 9 relating to the need for a Biodiversity Management Strategy/Plan with mitigation and enhancement measures (LPA approval) is yet to be agreed, however as part of discussions PCC has agreed a 5 year establishment period for planting of new trees either to be delivered by the Applicant, or via a commuted sum paid to PCC for undertaking the management until establishment. (Email from Edward Chetwynd-Stapylton 10/12/2020).	Ongoing
PCC 4.4.11	Arboriculture	The details set out in sections 1.3.1 -1.3.4.1 of the submitted Arboriculture Report (APP-411) are yet to be agreed. It is acknowledged that PCC does not protect trees under its own control (within PCC land). A summary of effects for onshore ecology is included at Table 16.9 of ES Chapter 16 Onshore Ecology (APP-131) and includes for the loss of Category A trees. The loss of Category B tree and dense and scattered scrub is scoped out of the assessment as per Table 16.1. These effects are yet to be agreed.	Ongoing
PCC 4.4.12	Mitigation	PCC has advised that the loss of any trees must be avoided and subsequently the details set out in sections 1.7.5 to 1.7.11 in the submitted Arboriculture Report (APP-411), identifying the baseline arboricultural conditions, potential impacts and specific mitigation, are yet to be agreed. The Onshore Outline CEMP (REP5-019) states that: Where practicable, any mature trees and hedgerows which are within the site boundary will be retained. Highway trees will only be removed as a last resort, where retention in the presence of the scheme would be contrary to sound arboricultural practice as confirmed in writing by the relevant local planning authority Arboriculture professional and with agreement on compensation / mitigation (dependant on LPA position) values for each highway tree prior to its removal. There will be no third-party tree planting within the highway without express permission from the Highway Authority. Where agreed, the Local Highway Authority will undertake any highway tree mitigation planting required, to be funded from the highway tree compensation monies; There will be no third-party tree planting within the highway without express permission from the Highway Authority. Where requested, tree mitigation planting will be undertaken by the Highway Authority through CAVAT funding. The Applicant would welcome PCC's comments on these proposed measures in the context of seeking agreement of impacts and mitigation.	Ongoing
PCC 4.4.13		PCC is concerned about the potential removal of significant trees within the local authority area as identified in Schedule 11 of the dDCO (REP1-021). The inclusion of the TPO trees within Schedule 11 of the dDCO (REP1-021) and other non-protected trees within PCC, and Articles 41 and 42 of Part 7 of the dDCO (REP1-021) is yet to be agreed.	Ongoing

4.5. GROUND CONDITIONS

Table 4.5 – Ground Conditions

Ref.	Description of matter	Current Position	RAG
Ground Conditions			

Ref.	Description of matter	Current Position	RAG
PCC 4.5.1	ES Methodology – Study Area	It is agreed that the study areas as identified in section 18.1.2 of ES Chapter 18 Ground Conditions (APP-133) is appropriate.	Agreed
PCC 4.5.2	ES Methodology – Modelling	It is agreed that the assessment methodology (as identified in section 18.8 of ES Chapter 18 (APP-133)) including for the completion of a Preliminary Risk Assessment (PRA) and preliminary Conceptual Site Model (CSM) to inform further ground investigation work and the Generic Quantitative Risk Assessment (GQRA) (APP-429) is appropriate.	Agreed
PCC 4.5.3	ES Methodology – Modelling	Following the initial ground investigation carried out along the route as part of the ES the CSM was updated accordingly. It is further agreed that where the initial ground investigation and GQRA identified a potentially significant contamination risk to sensitive receptors more detailed ground investigation would be carried out following any grant of the Order. This would be carried out to confirm the required level of remediation and any other mitigation measures.	Agreed
PCC 4.5.4	ES Baseline	The ground conditions baseline environment is set out at section 18.5 of ES Chapter 18 (APP-133) the Applicant welcomes PCC's review and agreement of this baseline for the relevant sections. PCC considers that a detailed assessment of contaminated land should have been expected as part of the DCO application to build upon the desk study completed with a conceptual model completed for each area. The Conceptual Site Model described in section 18.5.3 of ES Chapter 18 Ground Conditions and provided for each section in Appendix 18.1 (APP-429) is yet to be agreed.	Ongoing
PCC 4.5.5	ES Baseline – Pollution	Details for the location of further survey work identified as a post DCO deliverable as identified in section 11.2.1.1 the ES chapter 18 (APP-133) are yet to be agreed. Further discussion regarding agreeing locations/ further surveys is ongoing.	Ongoing
PCC 4.5.6	ES Baseline – Historic	PCC has requested a watching brief for the Proposed Development for any unexpected areas of pollution. Details of the proposed mitigation for construction and decommissioning, including a watching brief as set out in section 18.9.2 of ES chapter 18 (APP-133) are yet to be agreed.	Ongoing
PCC 4.5.7	ES Baseline – Historical Use/Mitigation	The ground conditions baseline environment set out at section 18.9.1.1 – 18.9.3.2 of ES Chapter 18 (APP-133) is yet to be agreed.	Ongoing
PCC 4.5.8	Predicted Impacts	The impacts during construction of the Proposed Development in relation to the Onshore Cable Corridor and Landfall are identified at sections 18.7.3 and 18.7.4 (for construction and operation respectively) of Chapter 18 of the ES (APP-133). The Applicant welcomes PCC's review and agreement that these represent an accurate reflection of the predicted impacts.	Ongoing
PCC 4.5.9	Predicted Impacts - CSM Results	The outcome/results of the Conceptual Site Model (CSM) (section 6 of ES Chapter 18 (APP-133) are yet to be agreed.	Ongoing
PCC 4.5.10	Mitigation at Milton Common	The proposed mitigation for Milton Common in ES Chapter 18 (APP-133, section 18.9.2.3) is yet to be agreed.	Ongoing
PCC 4.5.11	Mitigation - Onshore Outline CEMP – General Environmental Control Measures	PCC has identified the need for a Method Statement to include for remediation and waste disposal. The measures set out in section 5.5 (Ground Conditions) of the Onshore Outline CEMP (REP5-019) specifically section 5.5 and its associated Appendix 4 – Outline Materials Management Plan which includes for a Remediation Strategy are yet to be agreed.	Ongoing
PCC 4.5.12	Mitigation - Onshore Outline CEMP – Location Specific Construction Environmental Control Measures	The measures set out in section 6.9.2 (Section 8 - Eastern Road (adjacent to Great Salterns Golf Course) To Moorings Way - Ground Conditions) of the Onshore Outline CEMP (REP5-019) are yet to be agreed.	Ongoing

Ref.	Description of matter	Current Position	RAG
PCC 4.5.13	Mitigation - Onshore Outline CEMP – Onshore Monitoring Plan	The measures set out in section 7.1 – (Onshore Monitoring Plan - Onshore Ecology - Construction impacts to the environment) of the Onshore Outline CEMP (REP5-019) are yet to be agreed.	Ongoing
PCC 4.5.14	Residual effects	Subject to further discussion in relation to predicted impacts and mitigation measures, the Applicant seek PCC’s agreement of the assessment of residual effects set out in section 18.10 and table 18.8 of Chapter 18 of the ES (APP-133).	Ongoing
PCC 4.5.15	Requirement 13 – Contaminated Land and Groundwater	The draft DCO (REP1-021) and its Requirement 13 for a written scheme, per phase, to deal with contaminated land, including groundwater (LPA approval in consultation with the EA (and MMO for intertidal area)) is yet to be agreed. Additional provision within Requirement 13 relating to unexpected contamination, and a scheme to deal with such contamination, remediation works, and verification is yet to be agreed.	Ongoing

4.6. GROUNDWATER

Table 4.6 – Groundwater

Ref.	Description of matter	Current Position	RAG
Groundwater			
PCC 4.6.1	ES Methodology – Study Area	It is agreed that the 0.5 km study areas as identified in section 19.1.2 of ES Chapter 19 Groundwater (APP-134) is appropriate.	Agreed
PCC 4.6.2	ES Baseline	The baseline environment is set out at section 19.5 of ES Chapter 19 (APP-134) the Applicant welcomes PCC’s review and agreement of this baseline for the relevant sections.	Ongoing
PCC 4.6.3	Predicted Impacts	The predicted impacts (section 19.6.4 to 19.6.9 of ES Chapter 19, APP-134) are considered in light of embedded mitigation identified in section 19.6.1. The applicant welcomes PCC’s review and agreement that these represent an accurate reflection of the predicted impacts.	Ongoing
PCC 4.6.4	Mitigation - Onshore Outline CEMP – General Environmental Control Measures	The measures set out in section 5.6 (Groundwater) of the Onshore Outline CEMP (REP5-019) are yet to be agreed.	Ongoing
PCC 4.6.5	Mitigation - Onshore Outline CEMP – Location Specific Construction Environmental Control Measures	The measures set out in section 6.2.5 (Groundwater) of the Onshore Outline CEMP (REP5-019) are yet to be agreed.	Ongoing
PCC 4.6.6	Residual Effect	Subject to further discussion in relation to predicted impacts and mitigation measures, the Applicant seeks PCC’s agreement of the assessment of residual effects set out in section 19.8 and at Table 19.7 of Chapter 19 of the ES (APP-134).	Ongoing

4.7. SURFACE WATER RESOURCES AND FLOOD RISK

Table 4.7 – Surface Water Resources and Flood Risk

Ref.	Description of matter	Current Position	RAG
Surface Water Resources and Flood Risk			
PCC 4.7.1	ES Methodology – Study Area	It is agreed that the study area as identified in section 20.1.2 of ES Chapter 20 Surface Water Resources and Flood Risk (APP-135) is appropriate.	Agreed
PCC 4.7.2	ES Baseline	<p>It is noted that PCC disagreed with the baseline within Chapter 20 as part of their Relevant Representation ahead of Deadline 1. The Applicant can confirm that the EA flood maps were updated following the publication of the ES and the Applicant agrees that the ORS building is now located in Flood Zone 3 as reflected in the ES Addendum (REP1-139).</p> <p>It should also be noted that, alongside the update to the ES baseline, the ES Addendum updates have been made through a Flood Risk Assessment Addendum (REP1-157) discussed at PCC 4.7.9, and Sequential and Exception Test Addendum (REP1-158) discussed at PCC 4.7.12, to reflect the change of Flood Zone 3 extents within the tidal extent of Portsmouth.</p> <p>A meeting was held with PCC’s Lead Local Flood Authority (LLFA) representative on 26 November 2020 to discuss the updates relevant to the flood risk environment and assessment documentation submitted to supplement the publication of the original ES due to a subsequent change to the Flood Map for Planning. The Applicant presented the updates to PCC’s LLFA who has since confirmed agreement with the Flood Risk Assessment Addendum and Sequential Test Addendum and has provided comments to PCC’s planning team where items within this SoCG can be updated to agreed, however the Applicant awaits formal confirmation from PCC on this matter.</p> <p>Based on the ES Addendum, which now reflects the updated Flood Map for Planning and changes in Flood Zones, the baseline environment as per the details set out in section 20.5 of ES Chapter 20 (APP-135) are now agreed.</p>	Agreed
PCC 4.7.3	Coastal Partners (formerly East Solent Coastal Partnership)	Coastal Partners The (formerly East Solent Coastal Partnership is a body formed by PCC and it is agreed that PCC will comment on behalf of the East Solent Coastal Partnership in this SoCG in relation to matters relating to the North Portsea Island Coastal Schemes (Milton Common and Great Salterns Quay, and Eastern Road and Kendall’s Wharf).	Agreed
PCC 4.7.4	Coastal Partners – works adjacent to Coastal Flood Defences	<p>It is agreed in principle that works adjacent to the coastal flood defences can and will be designed to avoid works to existing or proposed coastal flood defence alignments.</p> <p>The principle of a short HDD (HDD-6) under the existing coastal flood defence to the north bund, west of Frog Lake is accepted.</p> <p>Furthermore, the principle of the proposed Horizontal Directional Drill (HDD) under Broom Channel (Langstone Harbour HDD-3) to pass below or avoid any sheet piling associated to the coastal flood defence is also agreed.</p> <p>Specific measures and construction principles in relation to flood defences are embedded in section 5.7 (Surface Water Resources and Flood Risk) of the Onshore Outline CEMP (REP5-019).</p> <p>Requirement 15 (Construction environmental management plan) of the draft DCO (REP1-021) requires the submission of a construction environment management plan, in accordance with the OOCEMP, therefore securing the measures relevant to coastal flood during construction.</p> <p>The Applicant would welcome comments and agreement with PCC on these matters and in the meantime has continued to engage directly with Coastal Partners.</p>	Ongoing
PCC 4.7.5	Potential conflict with the proposed construction compound and delivery of NPI Phase 4	PCC considers that depending on timing of the construction of the Proposed Development, there is the potential for conflict with delivery of NPI Phase 4 coastal defence works construction compound to the yard to the south-west of Kendall's Wharf. The Applicant met with Coastal Partners (formerly ESCP) on 27 th October 2020 to discuss the timings of potential works and is reviewing .dwg files for the coastal defence works in order to identify potential clashes and agree the approach to resolving those if required. A further meeting is planned with Coastal Partners in early January 2021.	Ongoing
PCC 4.7.6	Cumulative construction traffic effects/ potential impacts on access to the	PCC is concerned that the Access and Rights of Way Plans include land to the east of the highway that raises potential concern that: (a) south of the Langstone Harbour Viewing Car Park where land will be realigned in 2022 as part of the NPI Phase 4 coastal defence works and	Ongoing

Ref.	Description of matter	Current Position	RAG
	NPI construction compound	(b) on the northern end of Milton Common, this area will be used as a construction compound during the NPI Phase 4 works and based on the current construction programme will be unavailable from April 2021 until September 2022. Details as set out in section 20.8.1.1 and 20.9.2.10 on cumulative effects within ES Chapter 20 (APP-135) are yet to be agreed. Further detail on Cumulative effects are set out in Chapter 29 of the ES (APP-144).	
PCC 4.7.7	Mitigation - Onshore Outline CEMP – General Environmental Control Measures	<p>The principles for Ordinary Watercourse crossings are detailed in ES Appendix 20.3 (Watercourses Summary) (APP-308) section 20.7 (embedded mitigation) and 20.9 (mitigation and enhancement) of ES Chapter 20 (APP-135) and are replicated within section 5.8 of the OOCEMP (REP5-019).</p> <p>The principles for management of surface water and groundwater flood risk along the Onshore Cable Route during construction are detailed in ES Chapter 19 Groundwater (APP-134) and ES Chapter 20 Surface Water Resources and Flood Risk (APP-135) and are replicated in section 5.7 & 5.8 of the OOCEMP (REP5-019).</p> <p>Requirement 15 (Construction environmental management plan) of the draft DCO (REP1-021) requires the submission of a construction environment management plan, in accordance with the OOCEMP, therefore securing the measures for works affecting Ordinary Watercourses crossings during construction.</p> <p>Following on from the meeting with PCC's LLFA on 26 November 2020, where items relevant to the flood risk environment were discussed. the LLFA confirmed that the FRA Addendum and Sequential Test Addendum are accepted, however the applicant awaits formal confirmation from PCC and therefore these measures are yet to be agreed.</p>	Ongoing
PCC 4.7.8	Ordinary Watercourse Consent	<p>Ordinary Watercourse Consent is separate to, and in addition to any grant of DCO consent.</p> <p>Whilst the permitting process will be completed after detailed design, the general principles in relation to the surface water resources and flood risk environment as per the Flood Risk Assessment (APP-439), ES Appendix 20.3 (Watercourses Summary) (APP-308), ES Chapter 20 Surface Water Resources and Flood Risk (APP-135) have been embedded into the OOCEMP (REP5-019).</p> <p>Whilst PCC as LLFA cannot guarantee approval of permits until all permit application information, with full details of the proposed construction methodology, has been submitted; the Applicant believes that the general principles to be adopted ensure there should not be any impediment to a permit/exemption being provided to enable construction of the Proposed Development.</p> <p>Following on from the meeting with PCC's LLFA on 26 November 2020, where items relevant to the flood risk environment were discussed. the LLFA confirmed that the FRA Addendum and Sequential Test Addendum are accepted, however the applicant awaits formal confirmation from PCC and would welcome PCC comment on this.</p> <p>Where appropriate, and where Environmental Permits are required, as detailed in the Other Consents and Licences document (REP1-029), detailed information for the relevant Environmental Permitting will be submitted to PCC LLFA for review and approval and will follow the construction principles outlined within section 5.7 & 5.8 of the OOCEMP (REP5-019).</p> <p>The requirement to obtain relevant approval or exemption of Ordinary Watercourse Consent is detailed within the OOCEMP. Requirement 15 (Construction Environmental Management Plan) of the draft DCO (REP1-021) requires the submission of a Construction Environment Management Plan and approval or exemption of Environmental Permits, in accordance with the OOCEMP, therefore securing the requirement to obtain approval or exemption of Environmental Permits prior to works in these locations.</p>	Ongoing
PCC 4.7.9	Flood Risk Assessment and Flood Risk Addendum	The Flood Risk Assessment (APP-439), includes an assessment methodology including consideration of climate change, on and off-site impacts and proposed mitigations relevant to the flood risk environment.	Ongoing

Ref.	Description of matter	Current Position	RAG
		<p>The Applicant agrees that the FRA (APP-439) required updating following a change in Flood Zone from 2 to 3 at the ORS location.</p> <p>The Flood Risk Assessment (APP-439) is now supplemented by the Flood Risk Assessment Addendum (REP1-157), of which the assessment methodology including updated consideration of climate change, on and off-site impacts and proposed mitigations relevant to the tidal environment, which is supported by the EA.</p> <p>Existing and updated inbuilt design measures, namely ORS surface water management (see PCC 4.7.10) and ORS tidal flood management (see PCC 4.7.9.11) alongside other mitigation measures are included within the Design and Access Statement (REP1-031) and OOCEMP (REP5-019).</p> <p>Requirement 15 (Construction Environmental Management Plan) of the draft DCO (REP1-021) requires the submission of a Construction Environmental Management Plan, in accordance with the OOCEMP (REP5-019), and Requirement 6 (Detailed design approval) of the draft DCO (REP1-021) requires the design of the Proposed Development to be in accordance with the Flood Risk Assessment measures, therefore securing the principles within the Flood Risk Assessment.</p> <p>Following on from the meeting with PCC's LLFA on 26 November 2020, where items relevant to the flood risk environment were discussed. the LLFA confirmed that the FRA Addendum and Sequential Test Addendum are accepted, however the applicant awaits formal confirmation from PCC.</p>	
<p>PCC 4.7.10</p>	<p>Flood Risk Assessment (ORS Operational Surface Water Management)</p>	<p>Outline principles of the surface water drainage strategy at the ORS are included within the Flood Risk Assessment (APP-439).</p> <p>Draft DCO (REP1-021) Requirement 15 (Construction Environmental Management Plan) of the draft DCO (REP1-021) requires the submission of a Construction Environmental Management Plan, in accordance with the OOCEMP (REP5-019), and Requirement 6 (Detailed design approval) of the draft DCO (REP1-021) requires the design of the Proposed Development to be in accordance with the Flood Risk Assessment measures therefore securing the principles within the Flood Risk Assessment (APP-439) and Flood Risk Assessment Addendum (REP1-157).</p> <p>Furthermore, draft DCO (REP1-021) Requirement 12 requires written details and approval from PCC LLFA where relevant, per phase, of surface water drainage systems to accord with the Flood Risk Assessment (APP-439).</p> <p>Following on from the meeting with PCC's LLFA on 26 November 2020, where items relevant to the flood risk environment were discussed. the LLFA confirmed that the FRA Addendum and Sequential Test Addendum are accepted, however the applicant awaits formal confirmation from PCC to and as such the measures set out are yet to be agreed.</p>	<p>Ongoing</p>
<p>PCC 4.7.11</p>	<p>Flood Risk Assessment Addendum (ORS Operational Tidal Flood Risk Management)</p>	<p>The Applicant agrees that the FRA (APP-439) required updating following a change in Flood Zone from 2 to 3 at the ORS location.</p> <p>The Flood Risk Assessment (APP-439) is now supplemented by the Flood Risk Assessment Addendum (REP1-157). The assessment methodology, including consideration of climate change, on and off site impacts and proposed mitigations relevant to the tidal environment, is supported by the EA. Proposed inbuilt design measures and other mitigation measures are included within the Design and Access Statement (REP1-031) and OOCEMP (REP5-019).</p> <p>Requirement 15 (Construction Environmental Management Plan) of the draft DCO (REP1-021) requires the submission of a Construction Environmental Management Plan, in accordance with the OOCEMP (REP5-019), and Requirement 6 (Detailed design approval) of the draft DCO (REP1-021) requires the design of the Proposed Development to be in accordance with the Flood Risk Assessment measures, therefore securing the principles within the Flood Risk Assessment APP-439) and Flood Risk Assessment Addendum (REP1-157).</p> <p>These tidal flood risk design measures for the ORS have been embedded into the Design and Access Statement (REP1-031). Following on from the meeting with PCC's LLFA on 26 November 2020, where items relevant to the flood risk environment were discussed. the LLFA confirmed that the FRA Addendum and Sequential Test Addendum are accepted, however the applicant awaits formal confirmation from PCC and the Applicant welcomes PCC's response with a view to agreeing this matter.</p>	<p>Ongoing</p>

Ref.	Description of matter	Current Position	RAG
PCC 4.7.12	Sequential and Exception Test Addendum	<p>Following a change in Flood Zone from 2 to 3 at the ORS location a Sequential and Exception Test Addendum (REP1-158) has been prepared and submitted at Deadline 1 to demonstrate that the requirements of the sequential and exception test have been met. The addendum is yet to be agreed with PCC and the Applicant welcomes PCC's response with a view to agreeing this matter.</p> <p>Following on from the meeting with PCC's LLFA on 26 November 2020, where items relevant to the flood risk environment were discussed. the LLFA confirmed that the FRA Addendum and Sequential Test Addendum are accepted, with the LLFA confirming this item can be updated to reflect agreement.</p>	Agreed
PCC 4.7.13	Predicted Impacts	The impacts of the Proposed Development in relation to the Onshore Cable Corridor and Landfall are identified at section 20.7 of Chapter 20 of the ES (APP-135). Following on from the meeting with PCC's LLFA on 26 November 2020, where items relevant to the flood risk environment were discussed. the LLFA confirmed that the FRA Addendum and Sequential Test Addendum are accepted, the Applicant welcomes PCC's review and agreement that these represent an accurate reflection of the predicted impacts.	Ongoing
PCC 4.7.14	Residual effects	Following on from the meeting with PCC's LLFA on 26 November 2020, where items relevant to the flood risk environment were discussed. the LLFA confirmed that the FRA Addendum and Sequential Test Addendum are accepted; in relation to predicted impacts and mitigation measures, the Applicant seeks PCC's agreement of the assessment of residual effects set out at section 20.10 and table 20.12 of Chapter 20 of the ES (APP-135).	Ongoing

4.8. HERITAGE AND ARCHAEOLOGY

Table 4.8 – Heritage and Archaeology

Ref.	Description of matter	Current Position	RAG
Heritage and Archaeology			
PCC 4.8.1	ES Methodology – study area	It is agreed that the study area of 500 m as set out in section 21.1.2 of ES Chapter 21 (APP-136) is appropriate.	Agreed
PCC 4.8.2	Engagement	It is agreed that engagement will be undertaken with the Hampshire County Council (HCC) Archaeologist, as representative for PCC.	Agreed
PCC 4.8.3	Scope of Geophysical Survey	The scope is agreed with the HCC Archaeologist, and survey subsequently completed.	Agreed
PCC 4.8.4	ES Baseline	The baseline environment is set out at section 21.5 of ES Chapter 21 (APP-136). The Applicant welcomes PCC's review and agreement of this baseline for the relevant sections. Further to the identified areas of archaeological interest, Requirement 14 provides for the provision of a written scheme of investigation and is yet to be agreed.	Ongoing
PCC 4.8.5	Predicted impacts	Impacts considered to have the potential to give rise to likely significant effects are set out at section 21.6.2 of ES Chapter 21 (APP-136). The Applicant welcomes PCC's review and agreement that these represent an accurate reflection of the predicted impacts.	Ongoing
PCC 4.8.6	Mitigation - Onshore Outline CEMP – General Environmental Control Measures	The measures set out in section 5.8 (Heritage and Archaeology) of the Onshore Outline CEMP (REP5-019) are yet to be agreed.	Ongoing

Ref.	Description of matter	Current Position	RAG
PCC 4.8.7	Mitigation - Onshore Outline CEMP – Onshore Monitoring Plan	The measures set out in section 7.1 (Onshore Monitoring Plan - Heritage and Archaeology - Archaeological remains) of the Onshore Outline CEMP (REP5-019) are yet to be agreed.	Ongoing
PCC 4.8.8	Residual effects	Subject to further discussion in relation to predicted impacts and mitigation measures, the Applicant seeks PCC's agreement of the assessment of residual effects set out at section 21.9 and table 21.6 of Chapter 21 of the ES (APP-136).	Ongoing
PCC 4.8.9	Requirement 14 - Archaeology	Draft DCO (REP1-021)) Requirement 14 requiring a Written Scheme of Investigation for areas of interest as identified in the ES (LPA approval), with works carried out in accordance with the approved scheme is yet to be agreed.	Ongoing

Note that further reference to archaeology is contained within the Hampshire County Council SoCG as the archaeological advisor to PCC.

4.9. TRAFFIC AND TRANSPORT

Table 4.9 – Traffic and Transport

Ref.	Description of matter	Current Position	RAG
Traffic/Transport/Highways			
PCC 4.9.1	ES Methodology – Study Area & Model	The details within section 22.1.2 of ES Chapter 22 (APP-137)) and the Transport Assessment, incorporating the Sub Regional Transport Model (SRTM) Scoping Note (APP-448) were confirmed as agreed at a meeting between the Applicant and PCC on 08/12/2020.	Agreed
PCC 4.9.2	ES Methodology - Scenarios	The assessment methodology as per section 22.4 of ES Chapter 22 (APP-137) and the coding note to be tested within the SRTM is agreed. The Transport Assessment, incorporating the SRTM Scoping Note (APP-448) was supplemented by a Technical Note (ERTN01) prepared by the Applicant to address modelling queries raised by PCC concerning a "worst case" scenario covering a possible cable route along the A2030 between Tangier Road and Eastern Avenue and cumulative residual impacts of traffic merging to pass-by works. PCC confirmed agreement of the assessment at a meeting between the Applicant and PCC on 08/12/2020 following review of the Technical Note.	Agreed
PCC 4.9.3	Predicted impacts	The predicted impacts are identified in section 22.6 (specifically sections 22.6.8 to 22.6.14) of ES Chapter 22 (APP-137) PCC and HCC do not agree that the scale of impacts is correctly stated. Discussions regarding this subject are on-going between the Applicant and PCC. The Applicant also awaits comments from PCC on the Road Safety Technical Note submitted on 17/11/20.	Ongoing
PCC 4.9.4	Mitigation - Onshore Outline CEMP – General Environmental Control Measures	The measures set out in the Onshore Outline CEMP (REP5-019): <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Section 5.9 (Traffic and Transport) of the Onshore Outline CEMP, referring to the Framework CTMP and Framework TMS; Section 5.12 (Socio-Economics), of the Onshore Outline CEMP referring to the Framework CTMP, Framework TMS and additional traffic management, are yet to be agreed. 	Ongoing
PCC 4.9.5	Mitigation - Onshore Outline CEMP – Location Specific Construction Environmental Control Measures	The measures set out in sections 6.2.7 (Noise and Vibration), referring to out of hours working hours and section 6.2.9 (Human Health), referring to road closures and access, of the Onshore Outline CEMP (REP5-019) are yet to be agreed and a meeting is being arranged with the PCC EHO for early January 2021 to discuss this further.	Ongoing

Ref.	Description of matter	Current Position	RAG
PCC 4.9.6	Residual effects	Subject to further discussion in relation to predicted impacts and mitigation measures, the Applicant seek PCC's agreement of the assessment of residual effects set out in section 22.9 and table 22.10 of Chapter 22 of the ES (APP-137) and ES Addendum Chapter 15 (REP1-138). Discussions regarding this subject are on-going between the Applicant and PCC. The Applicant also awaits comments from PCC on the Road Safety Technical Note submitted on 17/11/20..	Ongoing
PCC 4.9.7	Requirement 10 – Details of access to a Highway by Vehicular Traffic	Draft DCO (REP1-021) Requirement 10 requiring written details of siting, design, layout, visibility splays, access management measures and maintenance programme for permanent or temporary access to a highway to be used by vehicular traffic (LHA approval) is the subject of continued discussion.	Ongoing
PCC 4.9.8	Requirement 17 – Construction Traffic Management Plan	Draft DCO (REP1-021) Requirement 17 requiring a Construction Traffic Management Plan in accordance with the framework construction traffic management plan, per phase (LHA approval) is yet to be agreed. . The Applicant awaits PCC's detailed comments on the Framework Construction Traffic Management Plan (REP1-070) submitted at Deadline 1.	Ongoing
PCC 4.9.9	Location of Joint Bays	It is agreed that joint bay locations are to be discussed and agreed subject to DCO approval. The Environmental Statement Volume 2 – Figure 24.2 Illustrative Cable Route (APP-336), HDD sites and Joint Bays for noise and vibration assessment, provides indicative joint bay locations to aid discussions.	Ongoing
PCC 4.9.10	Co-ordination of third parties on the public Highway	PCC have advised that they operate a permit scheme, with a lane rental scheme to follow. The Applicant agrees to the use of the permit scheme implemented via DCO protective provisions and wording is in the process of being agreed between The Applicant, PCC and HCC.	Ongoing
PCC 4.9.11	Requirement 19 – Traffic Management Strategy	Draft DCO (REP1-021) Requirement 19 requiring a Traffic Management Strategy in accordance with the Framework Traffic Management Strategy is yet to be agreed. The Applicant awaits PCC's detailed comments on the Framework Traffic Management Strategy (REP1-068) submitted at Deadline 1.	Ongoing
PCC 4.9.12	Requirement 21 – Travel Plan	Draft DCO (REP1-021) Requirement 21 requiring a Travel Plan for the contractors workforce (LPA/LHA approval) are yet to be agreed. The Applicant awaits PCC's detailed comments on the Construction Worker Travel Plan appended to the Framework Traffic Management Strategy (REP1-068) submitted at Deadline 1	Ongoing
PCC 4.9.13	Abnormal Loads	The Applicant agrees with PCC in that the reference to abnormal loads within the Framework Traffic Management Plan incorrectly states that "a vehicle is considered abnormal when.... the gross weight is over 80 tonnes". This has been rectified in the updated version of the FTMP (REP1-068) submitted to the ExA at Deadline 1 to align with the definition (over 44 tonnes) within the Glossary (REP1-005). An assessment of abnormal loads associated with delivery of cable drums to indicative joint bays was completed by the Applicant as part of the Supplementary Transport Assessment (REP1-142) submitted at Deadline 1, with a further Technical Note on the number of abnormal loads movements to each location provided to PCC on 11/11/20. The Applicant welcomes comments from PCC on these documents.	Ongoing

4.10. AIR QUALITY

Table 4.10 – Air Quality

Ref.	Description of matter	Current Position	RAG
Air Quality			
PCC 4.10.1	ES Methodology – study area	The area of study is agreed (as noted at section 23.1.2 of revised ES Chapter 23 submitted at Deadline 1 (REP1-033)).	Agreed
PCC 4.10.2	ES Methodology	It is agreed that use of the Institute of Air Quality Management (IAQM) Dust Assessment methodology, aligned with the Transport Assessment and modelling referred in 4.1.14 above), and set out in section 23.4.2 of revised ES Chapter 23 submitted at Deadline 1 (REP1-033) is appropriate. It is also agreed that the assessment should include emissions related to traffic diversions, construction traffic, and the temporary and permanent emissions from backup power generation.	Agreed
PCC 4.10.3	ES Baseline	The baseline is set out at section 23.5 of revised ES Chapter 23 submitted at Deadline 1 (REP1-033). The Applicant welcomes PCC's review and agreement of this baseline for the relevant sections. The Applicant undertook a teleconference call with the Air Quality Lead for Transport at PCC on 17/12/2020. It was confirmed that the Clean Air Zone (CAZ) was not included in the Applicant's traffic modelling. Some sensitivity testing was requested by PCC to ensure the impact of the CAZ on traffic redistribution is included in the air quality impact assessment. The Applicant agreed to consider this requirement, which would require the provision of data from PCC's modelling adviser Systra, within the constraints of the Examination programme. The Applicant is in dialogue with Systra to that end. The Applicant confirmed that the baseline data presented in Section 23.5 of revised ES Chapter 23 submitted at Deadline 1 (REP1-033) represents local air quality The Applicant welcomes PCC's review and continued engagement to reach common ground on this matter.	Ongoing
PCC 4.10.4	Predicted impacts	The potential air quality impacts are identified at section 23.6 of revised ES Chapter 23 submitted at Deadline 1 (REP1-033). The Applicant welcomes PCC's review and agreement that these represent an accurate reflection of the predicted impacts. The Applicant undertook a teleconference call with the Air Quality Lead for Transport at PCC on 17 December 2020. It was confirmed that the Clean Air Zone (CAZ) was not included in the Applicant's traffic modelling. Some sensitivity testing was requested by PCC to ensure the impact of the CAZ on traffic redistribution is included in the air quality impact assessment. The Applicant agreed to consider this requirement, which would require the provision of data from Systra, within the constraints of the Examination programme. The Applicant welcomes PCC's review and continued engagement to reach common ground on this matter.	Ongoing
PCC 4.10.5	Mitigation - Onshore Outline CEMP – General Environmental Control Measures	The measures set out in section 5.10 (Air Quality) of the Onshore Outline CEMP (REP5-019) are yet to be agreed.	Ongoing
PCC 4.10.6	Mitigation - Onshore Outline CEMP – Onshore Monitoring Plan	The measures set out in section 7.1 (Onshore Monitoring Plan - Air Quality - Human and Ecological receptors) of the Onshore Outline CEMP (REP5-019) are yet to be agreed.	Ongoing
PCC 4.10.7	Residual effects	The assessment of residual effects set out in table 23.116 of revised ES Chapter 23 submitted at Deadline 1 (REP1-033) is agreed pending resolution on matter 4.10.4..	Ongoing

4.11. NOISE AND VIBRATION

Table 4.11 – Noise and Vibration

Ref.	Description of matter	Current Position	RAG
Noise and Vibration			
PCC 4.11.1	ES Methodology – study area relevant to PCC	The study area relevant to PCC as set out in paragraphs 24.1.2.5 to 24.1.2.11 of ES Chapter 24 (APP-139) is agreed.	Agreed
PCC 4.11.2	ES Methodology	The detailed methodology for the construction noise and vibration assessment relevant to the Onshore Cable Corridor is set out in sections 24.4.2 and 24.4.3 of ES Chapter 24 (APP-139) and supplemented by the revised assumptions in section 17.3 of the ES Addendum (REP1-139). The Applicant noted the queries raised regarding the construction noise assessment methodology and provided a response at Deadline 4. Following written correspondence 04/12/2020 between the Environmental Health Officer at PCC and the Applicant, this matter is agreed. The construction traffic noise assessment methodology set out in section 24.4.4 of ES Chapter 24 (APP-139) is agreed.	Agreed
PCC 4.11.3	ES Baseline	The baseline survey and associated operational assessment methodology for the ORS at landfall is set out in sections 24.4.1 and 24.4.5 of ES Chapter 24 (APP-139). The baseline environment for the ORS at Landfall is set out at section 24.5 of ES Chapter 24 (APP-139). Following written correspondence 04/12/2020 with the Environmental Health Officer at PCC and the Applicant, the baseline environment for the ORS at Landfall is agreed.	Agreed
PCC 4.11.4	Predicted impacts	The impacts of Noise and Vibration for the Proposed Development are set out in section 24.6 of Chapter 24 of the ES (APP-139), and in relation to the construction noise and vibration assessment, supplemented by section 17.3 of the ES Addendum (REP1-139). <u>Predicted impacts - ORS at Landfall</u> Responses were provided to PCC’s noise related queries about the ORS in the Applicant’s Comments on Responses to Examining Authority’s first Written Questions (REP2-008). Following written correspondence with the Environmental Health Officer at PCC, the predicted impacts during construction and operation of the ORS at Landfall are agreed. <u>Predicted Effects – Construction in Onshore Cable Corridor</u> Responses to all queries raised by PCC, most of which were in relation to the construction noise assessment for the Onshore Cable Corridor, were provided in the Applicant’s formal written submissions at Deadlines 1 to 4, and in particular the Applicant’s Comments on Local Impact Reports (REP2-013) in response to PCC’s Local Impact Report (LIR) submitted at Deadline 2. Following written correspondence with the Environmental Health Officer at PCC, the predicted effects from construction activities in the Onshore Cable Corridor during Core Working Hours are agreed. Written correspondence has also confirmed that the predicted effects are agreed in respect of cable and duct installation works outside of Core Working Hours in Section 5 (Havant Road between Farlington Avenue and Eastern Road), Section 6 (Sainsbury’s Car Park), and Section 8 (Eastern Road between Airport Service Road and the north of Milton Common), where timing of works is required to mitigate adverse traffic effects and minimise business disruption to Sainsbury’s. It is agreed that, as breaking and cutting of the road surface and resurfacing activities will not be permitted at night in proximity to sensitive receptors in these areas, no further assessment to that contained in Chapter 24 of the ES (APP-139) and Chapter 17 of the ES Addendum (REP1-139) is necessary. Please see section 4.11.6 below for details of mitigation measures still under discussion, which relate to those works in Section 8 on Eastern Road outside of the Harbourside Caravan Park.	Agreed
PCC 4.11.5	Mitigation - Onshore Outline CEMP – General Environmental Control Measures	The measures set out in section 5.12 (Noise and Vibration) of the Onshore Outline CEMP Rev 005 are agreed, with the exception of the following points which remain under discussion: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • If Section 61 consents under the Control of Pollution Act 1974 should be cited in the Outline Onshore CEMP. • If construction noise limits should be set in the Outline Onshore CEMP. • If construction noise monitoring should be cited in the Outline Onshore CEMP. • The details of the noise and vibration complaints procedure in the Outline Onshore CEMP. 	Ongoing

Ref.	Description of matter	Current Position	RAG
<p>PCC 4.11.6</p>	<p>Mitigation - Onshore Outline CEMP – Location Specific Construction Environmental Control Measures</p>	<p>The measures set out in section 6.2.8 (Noise and Vibration) of the Onshore Outline CEMP (Rev 005) are under discussion. The specific mitigation measures relevant to the construction works that could take place outside of core working hours (Onshore Cable Corridor sections 5, 6 and 8) are detailed in section 6.2.8 of the Onshore Outline CEMP.</p> <p>Further information requested by PCC in relation to mitigation measures for night-time cable duct installation works was provided in the Applicant’s Comments on Local Impact Reports (REP2-013) in respect of PCC’s LIR. Information on the noise mitigation measures at Harbourside Caravan Park were provided in writing to PCC on 19 June 2020, and further detail was provided in the Applicant’s response to PCC’s LIR (REP2-013). Further information requested by PCC in relation to different contractors and the control of working hours was provided in the Applicant’s response to PCC’s LIR (REP2-013).</p> <p>Written correspondence from PCC has confirmed that the location-specific construction environmental control measures for the works outside of core working hours in Section 5 (Havant Road between Farlington Avenue and Eastern Road) and Section 6 (Sainsbury’s Car Park), which are required to mitigate adverse traffic effects and minimise business disruption to Sainsbury’s, are agreed.</p> <p>The location-specific construction environmental control measures for the works outside of core working hours in Section 8 (Eastern Road between Airport Service Road and the north of Milton Common), and in particular those works on Eastern Road outside the Harbourside Caravan Park, are under discussion. PCC is content with the mitigation measure that prevents road surface cutting/ breaking and resurfacing activities at night (22:00-07:00) outside the Caravan Park. PCC requests that this mitigation measure is also extended to the works outside the residential flat above the Great Salterns Harvester, directly to the south of the Caravan Park. The Applicant has agreed to this request and this is reflected in the Outline Onshore CEMP Rev 005 submitted at Deadline 6.</p> <p>PCC has requested that as the works will be completed 24 hours per day, leniency with regards to protecting occupants that reside in this location should be provided. PCC has suggested that respite could be achieved through the offer of temporary alternative accommodation or a break in the works. The Applicant is not in agreement with these proposed approaches and, specifically with regard to temporary rehousing, these properties are not eligible in accordance with the relevant British Standard. Therefore this matter is under discussion.</p> <p>The Applicant continues to engage proactively with PCC on these matters.</p>	<p>Ongoing</p>
<p>PCC 4.11.7</p>	<p>Requirement 20 – Control of noise during operation</p>	<p>Draft DCO requirement 20 with regard to the ORS, sets out the requirement for a noise management plan, which should include the mitigation (and attenuation achieved by these measures) to minimise noise, and a scheme for noise monitoring to ensure compliance with the noise criteria, and a complaints procedure (requiring LPA approval).</p> <p>The revised wording of Requirement 20 submitted in the updated dDCO at Deadline 1 references the <i>Operational Broadband and Octave Band Noise Criteria Document</i> (REP1-129), which is a certified document contained at Schedule 14 to the dDCO. The document sets out the operational daytime and night-time broadband and octave band noise criteria for the ORS, which are based on Chapter 24 of the ES (APP-139).</p> <p>PCC has confirmed in writing that the operational noise criteria for the ORS are acceptable, and are also likely to protect any occupants from noise at the proposed Fraser Range development. Therefore, this matter is agreed.</p>	<p>Agreed</p>

4.12. SOCIO-ECONOMICS

Table 4.12 – Socio-economics

Ref.	Description of matter	Current Position	RAG
Socio-economics			
PCC 4.12.1	ES Methodology – Study Area	The study area is set out in section 25.1.2 of ES Chapter 25 (APP-140). The Applicant welcomes PCC's review and agreement of the study area.	Ongoing
PCC 4.12.2	ES Baseline	The baseline environment is set out at section 25.5 of ES Chapter 25 (APP-140). The Applicant welcomes PCC's review and agreement of this baseline for the relevant sections.	Ongoing
PCC 4.12.3	Predicted impacts	The predicted impacts set out at section 25.7 of ES Chapter 25 (APP-140) are yet to be agreed.	Ongoing
PCC 4.12.4	Parking	The details for parking set out in section 25.7 of ES Chapter 25 (APP-140) are yet to be agreed, with PCC concerned about the temporary loss of provision to Farlington Playing Fields.	Ongoing
PCC 4.12.5	Access to Farlington Playing Fields/Bransbury Park	The details for access to Farlington Playing Fields and Bransbury Park set out in Table 25.14 of ES Chapter 25 (APP-140) are yet to be agreed.	Ongoing
PCC 4.12.6	Time required for reinstatement of playing fields	The details for reinstatement of open space set out in ES Chapter 25 (APP-140) are yet to be agreed, with PCC raising concerns about the time taken for reinstatement of pitches for re-use. Further detail on reinstatement options is provided in the Framework Management Plan for Recreational Impacts (FMP) submitted to PCC for comment in advance of finalisation and submission to the ExA. A further updated FMP which draws on information submitted by PCC at deadlines 1-3 will be circulated with PCC and submitted to the ExA at Deadline 4 and the Applicant would welcome dialogue with PCC to agree the content of the FMP and/or identify any areas for further work in refining mitigation proposals.	Ongoing
PCC 4.12.7	Timing/ Temporary loss of open space	The details of the timing and temporary loss of open space set out in section 25.10 of ES Chapter 25 (APP-140) and illustrative phasing included in Appendix 25.5 (APP-473) are yet to be agreed. Further detail on how the impacts on recreational assets can be mitigated is provided in the Framework Management Plan for Recreational Impacts submitted to PCC for comment in advance of finalisation and submission to the ExA.	Ongoing
PCC 4.12.8	Accessibility of allotments	The details as set out in 3.6.4.45 – 3.6.4.46 ES Chapter 3 (APP-118) confirming the cable installation via HDD under Milton Allotments, and confirming access over the paths during installation for monitoring works is yet to be agreed.	Ongoing
PCC 4.12.9	Loss of Open Space regarding events / festivals	The details of the residual effects on tourism (including the loss of open space set out in section 25.9.6 of ES Chapter 25 (APP-140) are yet to be agreed. Further details on the illustrative phasing for retaining access to Farlington Playing Fields as shown in Appendix 25.5 (APP-473) is yet to be agreed. Additional detail on how the works at Farlington Playing Fields could be phased to allow use for the festivals is provided in the Framework Management Plan for Recreational Impacts submitted to PCC for comment in advance of finalisation and submission to the ExA.	Ongoing
PCC 4.12.10		The details included within Work Nos 1 to 5, with regards to the impacts on open space contained within Schedule 1 (2) of the dDCO (REP1-021) are yet to be agreed.	Ongoing
PCC 4.12.11	Maintenance rights	The details for maintenance rights set out in 3.6.4.45 – 3.6.4.46 ES Chapter 3 (APP-118) are yet to be agreed, with PCC considering the rights to be too onerous.	Ongoing
PCC 4.12.12	Temporary Diversions PRoW	The details for temporary diversions set out in ES Chapter 25 section 25.7.2.34 - 25.7.2.38 (APP-140) are yet to be agreed.	Ongoing
PCC 4.12.13	Cable works- Loss of business activity	The potential impacts of the Proposed Development on business activity within the City of Portsmouth are not yet agreed.	Ongoing

Ref.	Description of matter	Current Position	RAG
PCC 4.12.14	Mitigation - Onshore Outline CEMP – General Environmental Control Measures	The measures set out in section 5.12 (Socio-economics) of the Onshore Outline CEMP (REP5-019) are yet to be agreed.	Ongoing
PCC 4.12.15	Mitigation - Onshore Outline CEMP – Location Specific Construction Environmental Control Measures	The measures set out in section 6.2.8 (Socio-economics) of the Onshore Outline CEMP (REP5-019) are yet to be agreed.	Ongoing
PCC 4.12.16	Residual effects	Subject to further discussion in relation to predicted impacts and mitigation measures, the Applicant seeks PCC's agreement of the assessment of residual effects set out at section 25.10 and tables 25.15 of Chapter 25 of the ES (REP5-019).	Ongoing

4.13. HUMAN HEALTH

Table 4.13 – Human Health

Ref.	Description of matter	Current Position	RAG
Human Health			
PCC 4.13.1	ES Methodology – Study Area	The study area is set out in section 26.1.2 of ES Chapter 26 (APP-141). The Applicant welcomes PCC's review and agreement of the study area.	Ongoing
PCC 4.13.2	ES Baseline	The baseline environment is set out at section 26.5 of ES Chapter 26 (APP-141). The Applicant welcomes PCC's review and agreement of this baseline for the relevant sections.	Ongoing
PCC 4.13.3	Predicted Impacts	The predicted impacts are set out at section 26.6 of ES Chapter 26 (APP-141). The Applicant welcomes PCC's review and agreement that these represent an accurate reflection of the predicted impacts.	Ongoing
PCC 4.13.4	Mitigation - Onshore Outline CEMP – Location Specific Construction Environmental Control Measures	The measures set out in section 6.2.9 (Human Health) of the Onshore Outline CEMP (REP5-019) are yet to be agreed.	Ongoing
PCC 4.13.5	Residual effects	Subject to further discussion in relation to predicted impacts and mitigation measures, the Applicant seeks PCC's position on the assessment of residual effects set out at table 26.19 of Chapter 26 of the ES (APP-141).	Ongoing
PCC 4.12.8	Health- Access to Leisure Facilities and Open Space	The details set out in paragraphs 26.6.3.17 to 41 of ES Chapter 26 (APP-141) are yet to be agreed.	Ongoing

4.14. WASTE AND MATERIAL RESOURCES

Table 4.14 - Waste and Material Resources

Ref.	Description of matter	Current Position	RAG
Waste and Material Resources			
PCC 4.14.1	ES Methodology – Study Area	The primary and secondary study areas are set out in section 27.1.2 of ES Chapter 27 (APP-142). The Applicant welcomes PCC's review and agreement of the study area.	Ongoing
PCC 4.14.2	ES Baseline	The baseline environment is set out at section 27.5 of ES Chapter 27 (APP-142). The Applicant welcomes PCC's review and agreement of this baseline for the relevant sections.	Ongoing
PCC 4.14.3	Predicted Impacts	The predicted impacts are set out at section 27.6 of ES Chapter 27 (APP-142). The Applicant welcomes PCC's review and agreement that these represent an accurate reflection of the predicted impacts.	Ongoing
PCC 4.14.4	Mitigation - Onshore Outline CEMP – General Environmental Control Measures	The measures set out in section 5.13 (Waste and Material Resources) of the Onshore Outline CEMP (REP5-019) are yet to be agreed.	Ongoing
PCC 4.14.5	Mitigation - Onshore Outline CEMP - Onshore Monitoring Plan	The measures set out in section 7.1 (Onshore Monitoring Plan -Soils and Agricultural Land Use and Waste and Material Resources - Construction impacts to soil, waste and material resources) of the Onshore Outline CEMP (REP5-019) are yet to be agreed.	Ongoing
PCC 4.14.6	Residual effects	Subject to further discussion in relation to predicted impacts and mitigation measures, The Applicant seeks PCC's agreement of the assessment of residual effects set out in section 27.9 and table 27.22 of Chapter 27 of the ES (APP-142).	Ongoing

4.15. CUMULATIVE EFFECTS

Table 4.15 – Cumulative Effects

Ref.	Description of matter	Current Position	RAG
Cumulative Effects			
PCC 4.15.1	Methodology	It is agreed that the approach taken to the assessment of cumulative effects, including the zone of influence is set out in section 29.4 of ES Chapter 29 of the ES (APP-144) is appropriate and proportionate, in accordance with PINS Advice Note 17 (Cumulative Effects Assessment).	Agreed
PCC 4.15.2	Costal Defence Scheme	Details of the cumulative effects associated with the coastal defence schemes set out within section 29.5 of ES Chapter 29 (APP-144) are yet to be agreed.	Ongoing
PCC 4.15.3	HRA – cumulative effects	Details of the cumulative effects associated with the HRA (APP-491) are set out within section 16.7 of Chapter 16 (APP-131) are yet to be agreed. PCC raise concern on the disturbance to SWBGS sites, specifically that the HRA in-combination assessment for onshore defers to the onshore ecology cumulative effects assessment. The Applicant is in the process of updating the HRA as it considers appropriate and will provide PCC and the ExA with the updated document for consideration once updated.	Ongoing
PCC 4.15.4	Cumulative effects and co-ordination of project and other planned works	Details of the cumulative effects of other developments set out within Table 29.14 of ES Chapter 29 (APP-144) and updated at Deadline 1 (REP1-146 and REP1-147) are yet to be agreed. The Applicant met Coastal Partners (formerly ESCP) on 27 October 2020 to discuss the timings of potential works and is awaiting drawings of proposed coastal defence works in order to progress discussions on managing potential clashes between the respective works.	Ongoing

PCC 4.15.5	Programme of works	Details of the cumulative considerations regarding temporal scope set out within paragraph 29.4.5.9 to 11 of Chapter 29 (APP-144) and updated at Deadline 1 (REP1-146 and REP1-147) are yet to be agreed.	Ongoing
PCC 4.15.6	ES Chapter	The assessment of Cumulative Effects for the Proposed Development as set out in table 29.14 of Chapter 29 of the ES (APP-144) and updated at Deadline 1 (REP1-146 and REP1-147) are yet to be agreed.	Ongoing

4.16. ONSHORE OUTLINE CONSTRUCTION ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PLAN

Table 4.16 – Onshore Outline Construction Environmental Management Plan

Ref.	Description of matter	Current Position	RAG
Onshore Outline Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) (document reference 6.9)			
PCC 4.16.1	Roles and Responsibilities	The Onshore Outline CEMP (REP5-019) and the personnel with defined environmental responsibilities as set out in Section 3 of the Onshore Outline CEMP are yet to be agreed.	Ongoing
PCC 4.16.2	General Environmental Requirements	The General Environmental Requirements set out in Section 4 of the Onshore Outline CEMP (REP5-019): <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Requirements and Consents; • Competence, Training and Awareness; • Internal Communication; • External Communication; • Method Statements; and • Environmental Incidents; are yet to be agreed	Ongoing
PCC 4.16.3	Monitoring and Review	The CEMP and the proposal for an Environmental Manger to be responsible for maintaining the register of all environmental monitoring, to be made available for auditing and inspection as set out in Section 7 of the Onshore Outline CEMP (REP5-019) are yet to be agreed.	Ongoing
PCC 4.16.4	General Environmental Control Measures	The proposed general environmental control measures contained in Section 5 of the Onshore Outline CEMP (REP5-019), where relevant to the Onshore Cable Corridor are yet to be agreed.	Ongoing
PCC 4.16.5	Location Specific Construction Environmental Control Measures	The proposals for site specific management measures for Sections 4 to 10 as set out in Section 6 of the Onshore Outline CEMP (REP5-019) are yet to be agreed.	Ongoing
-	-	<i>For topic specific outline CEMP environmental control measures see the relevant specialist/topic areas.</i>	

4.17. DRAFT DEVELOPMENT CONSENT ORDER (INCLUDING REQUIREMENTS TO THE DRAFT DCO)

Table 4.17 – Draft Development Consent Order

Ref.	Description of matter	Current Position	RAG
Scope of the Draft DCO and Draft Requirements			
PCC 4.17.1	Scope of Powers	PCC consider the scope of the powers being sought through the dDCO (REP1-021) are appropriate. PCC raises concerns on the securing of powers regarding trees, amendment of existing legislative frameworks, and departure from the New Roads and Street Works Act 1991.	Ongoing
PCC 4.17.2	Operative Provisions	The dDCO (REP1-021) is being reviewed by PCC, and consequently the wording of the operative provisions are yet to be agreed.	Ongoing
PCC 4.17.3	Discharge of Requirements (procedure and timescales)	The dDCO (REP1-021) is being reviewed by PCC, and consequently the procedure and timescales provided for the discharge of requirements are yet to be agreed. PCC consider the procedure and timescales provided for the discharge of requirements to be too short.	Ongoing
PCC 4.17.4	Interpretation	The dDCO (REP1-021) and its explanation of meaning are yet to be agreed.	Ongoing
PCC 4.17.5	Phases of authorised development onshore	The dDCO (REP1-021) requirement for a written scheme setting out phases of the authorised development to be submitted and approved (LPA approval) are yet to be agreed.	Ongoing
PCC 4.17.6	Works No. 4 – Detailed design approval (onshore HVDC Cables)	The requirement of Works No. 4, details of the (a) proposed layout; (b) proposed cable burial depths; and (c) indicative location of the joint bays, link boxes and link pillars within the dDCO (REP1-021) are yet to be agreed.	Ongoing
PCC 4.17.7	Works No. 5 – Detailed design approval (onshore connection works)	The requirement of Works No. 5, details of the (a) proposed layout; (b) proposed cable burial depths; (c) indicative location of the joint bays, link boxes and link pillars; and (d) optical regeneration stations within the dDCO (REP1-021) are yet to be agreed.	Ongoing
PCC 4.17.8	Requirement 11 – Fencing and other means of enclosure	The dDCO (REP1-021) requirement that construction sites are to remain securely fenced at all times during construction and removed on completion of phase is yet to be agreed.	Ongoing
PCC 4.17.9	Requirement 15 - CEMP	The dDCO (REP1-021) requirement for a CEMP according with the outline CEMP, per phase (LPA approval). <i>See reference to Onshore Outline CEMP within tables below for specific topic/theme considerations</i> is yet to be agreed.	Ongoing
PCC 4.17.10	Requirement 18 – Construction Hours	The proposed standard working hours between 0700 and 1700 hours on weekdays and 0800 and 1300 hours on Saturdays, excluding public holidays, except in the event of emergency unless otherwise agreed (LPA approval) are yet to be agreed.	Ongoing
PCC 4.17.11	Requirement 22 – Restoration of land used temporarily for construction	The dDCO (REP1-021) requirement for reinstatement of land to its former condition (LPA approval) within 12 months of completion is yet to be agreed. <i>Note open space reinstatement also covered in the Outline Landscape and Biodiversity Strategy (REP1-034).</i>	Ongoing
PCC 4.17.12	Requirement 25 – Amendment to approved details	The dDCO (REP1-021) requirement for development to be carried out with approved details unless any amendment or variation is previously agreed in writing with the relevant LPA or HA, being in accordance with the principles of the ES, is yet to be agreed.	Ongoing
PCC 4.17.13	Order Limits	Details as set out in Environmental Statement - Volume 2 - Figure 3.2 Order Limits (Onshore) (APP-147) and Environmental Statement - Volume 2 - Figure 3.9 Order Limits Sections (Onshore) (APP-154) are yet to be agreed, with PCC considering that the Order Limits contain an unjustified amount of land which results in uncertainty for the Council.	Ongoing

Ref.	Description of matter	Current Position	RAG
PCC 4.17.14	Part 3 – Streets	Discussions are ongoing with PCC with regard to the rights to carry out works in the highway and the ability for the undertaker to utilise statutory highway powers to facilitate the carrying out of such works in an expeditious manner. The Applicant has confirmed that the dDCO will be updated to remove the ability to make permanent TTROs.	Ongoing
Working Hours (Exceptions)			
PCC 4.17.WH1	Works No. 4, exception 1	The proposed working hours exception for Section 5 Havant Road near Drayton between Farlington Avenue and Eastern Road – up to 24 hour working for one weekend (noisy activities avoided during darkness) or 0700 to 2200 hours for up to four weekends are yet to be agreed.	Ongoing
PCC 4.17.WH2	Works No. 4, exception 2	The proposed working hours exception for Section 6 Fitzherbert Road and Sainsbury’s car park – night works (noisy activities avoided during darkness) are yet to be agreed.	Ongoing
PCC 4.17.WH3	Works No. 4, exception 3	The proposed working hours exception for Section 6/7 Farlington Railway Crossing (trenchless) – 24 hour working are yet to be agreed.	Ongoing
PCC 4.17.WH4	Works No. 4, exception 4	The proposed working hours exception for Section 7 Langstone Harbour (Kendall’s Wharf to Farlington Playing Fields HDD) – 24 hour working are yet to be agreed.	Ongoing
PCC 4.17.WH5	Works No. 4, exception 5	The proposed working hours exception for Section 8 Eastern Road between Airport Service Road and north of Milton Common – up to 24 hour working, seven days a week for approximately 33 days (noisy activities avoided outside Harbourside Caravan Park during darkness) are yet to be agreed.	Ongoing
PCC 4.17.WH6	Works No. 5 (Onshore Connection Works)	Proposed Requirement 18, setting working hours between 0800 and 1800 hours on weekdays and 0800 and 1300 hours on Saturdays, excluding public holidays, except in the event of emergency unless otherwise agreed (LPA approval) are yet to be agreed.	Ongoing

4.18. OPTICAL REGENERATION STATIONS

Table 4.18 – Optical Regeneration Stations

Ref.	Description of matter	Current Position	RAG
Optical Regeneration Station (ORS)			
PCC 4.18.1	Location	The Applicant wishes to seek PCC’s in-principle agreement that the ORS should be sited at Fort Cumberland Car Park, being considered by the applicant to be the most appropriate location within 1 km search radius of landfall. Details considered in Chapter 2 of the ES (APP-117) from paragraph 2.6.6.22 is to be agreed. The site at Eastney is in use as a public car park, which is finished in rolled scalplings. The parking facility nestles inconspicuously into the scrubland character of the adjacent open space to the north-east, which forms part of a Local Wildlife Site Encircling Fort Cumberland.”	Ongoing
4.18.2	Parameters	PCC does not wish to comment on the appropriateness of the Proposed Development’s design from an engineering point of view, though it is acknowledged and agreed that the Applicant has sought to incorporate a degree of flexibility within the layout and design. The flexible approach and maximum design parameters which are set out and secured in Table WN6 of Requirement 5 (Schedule 2) of the draft DCO are matters for further discussion. The Applicant would welcome PCC’s review of these design principles and agreement that they provide appropriate guidelines for future detailed design.	Ongoing
4.18.3	Detailed design approval	The design principles for Works No. 5, optical regeneration stations, contained in the Design and Access Statement (APP-114) are yet to be agreed. The Applicant welcomes PCC’s review of these design principles and agreement that they provide appropriate guidelines for future detailed design. Proposed Requirement 5 of the dDCO (REP1-021) is also yet to be agreed.	Ongoing

Ref.	Description of matter	Current Position	RAG
4.18.4	Fencing and other means of enclosure	Proposed Requirement 11 of the dDCO (REP1-021) requiring permanent fencing to be completed before ORS is brought into use and maintained for the operation lifetime are yet to be agreed.	Ongoing
-	-	<i>For landscape associated with the ORS, see reference 5.9.3 within Table 5.9.</i>	
-	-	<i>For noise associated with the ORS, see reference 5.18.2 within Table 5.18.</i>	

4.19. COMMUNITY FUND

Table 4.19 – Community Fund

Ref.	Description of matter	Current Position	RAG
PCC 4.19	Community Fund	From PCC's RR "PCC consider that a fund for community benefits to secure localised improvements for road users should be at least be required from Aquind to assist project mitigation. Biodiversity enhancement measures and a delivery programme for such improvements at Eastney after completion of works for the landfall underground connection bay should also form part of essential mitigation works." PCCs position is noted with regard to funding for the community and will be considered.	Ongoing

5. SIGNATURES

Ref.	Portsmouth City Council	AQUIND (the Applicant)
Signature		
Printed Name		
Title		
On behalf of	Portsmouth City Council	AQUIND Limited
Date		

